arXiv:math/9911067v1 [math.FA] 10 Nov 1999

ON THE FOURIER-LAPLACE TRANSFORM OF FUNCTIONALS ON A WEIGHTED SPACE OF INFINITELY DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS

I.Kh. MUSIN

The strong dual space of linear continuous functionals on a weighted space G of infinitely differentiable functions defined on the real line is described in terms of their Fourier-Laplace transforms.

1. Preliminaries and the main result.

Let $M_0 = 1, M_1, M_2, \dots$, be an increasing sequence of positive numbers which satisfies the following conditions:

i). $M_k^2 \le M_{k-1} M_{k+1} \ \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$;

ii). $\lim\limits_{k \to \infty} \left(\dfrac{M_{k+1}}{M_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} = 1.$

iii). $\exists Q_1, Q_2 > 0$ such that

$$M_k \ge Q_1 Q_2^k k! \ \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Let

$$w(r) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \ln \frac{r^k}{M_k} \ , \ r > 0, \ w(0) = 0.$$

w is continuous for $r \ge 0$ [3] and $w(r) = 0$ for $r \in [0, M_1]$. From this and the condition *iii*) it follows that there exists $A_w > 0$ such that

$$w(r) \le A_w r \ , \ r \ge 0. \tag{1}$$

It is clear that $w(|z|)$ is a subharmonic function in the complex plane.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}, \ t > 0$ denote by $D(z, t)$ an open disk of radius t about a point z and by $\partial D(z, t)$ its boundary.

Let $\alpha > 1$ and $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ be a convex function satisfying the conditions:

1. $\exists A_\psi > 0$ such that for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\psi(x_1) - \psi(x_2)| \le A_\psi(1 + |x_1| + |x_2|)^{\alpha-1}|x_1 - x_2|; \tag{2}$$

2. $\lim\limits_{x\to\infty} \dfrac{\psi(x)}{|x|} = +\infty$;
3. for any $z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| > 1$,

$$\mu_\psi(D(z,t)) \le c_\psi |z|^{\alpha-1}t, \ t \in (0, |z|),$$

where μ_ψ is a measure associated by Riesz with subharmonic function $\psi(Im\ z)$, $c_\psi > 0$ is some constant.

For a function $g : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ such that $\dfrac{g(x)}{|x|} \to +\infty$ as $x \to \infty$ the Young transform g^* of g is defined by

$$g^*(x) = \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}}(xy - g(y)), \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

g^* is convex and $\dfrac{g^*(x)}{|x|} \to +\infty$ as $x \to \infty$ [10]. Moreover, if g is convex then the inversion formula holds [10]: $(g^*)^* = g$.

Let $\varphi = \psi^*$. ¿From (2)

$$\varphi(x) > A_\varphi |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} - B_\varphi, \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3}$$

where A_φ, B_φ are some positive numbers.

As usual $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ will denote the space of infinitely differentiable functions defined in $\mathbb{R}$ with the topology of uniform convergence of functions and all their derivatives on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. $C(\mathbb{R})$ will denote the space of continuous functions in $\mathbb{R}$. $H(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of entire functions equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets of complex plane. For a locally convex space X let X^* be the strong dual of X.

Fix $\sigma > 0$. Let $\{\varepsilon_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ be an arbitrary decreasing to zero sequence of positive numbers. Set $\theta_m(x) = \exp(\varphi(x) - m\ln(1 + |x|)), x \in \mathbb{R}, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$G_m = \{f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) : \|f\|_{G,m} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}, k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{|f^{(k)}(x)|}{(\sigma + \varepsilon_m)^k M_k \theta_m(x)} < \infty\}, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We let $G = \bigcap\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} G_m$ and endow this vector space with its natural projective limit topology. It is clear that the definition of G doesn't depend on the choice of the sequence $\{\varepsilon_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$. It is easy to show that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the canonical (inclusion) mapping $i_{m+1,m} : G_{m+1} \to G_m$ is relatively compact in G_m. So G is the space (M^*) (see for definitions [11]) ¿From this and theorem 5 of [11] it follows that G^* is a space (LN^*).

Let $w_m(|z|) = w((\sigma + \varepsilon_m)^{-1}|z|), z \in \mathbb{C}, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$P_m = \left\{ f \in H(\mathbb{C}) : \|f\|_m = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{|f(z)|}{\exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_m(|z|))} < \infty \right\}, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let P be the union of these normed spaces with a topology of inductive limit of the spaces P_m.

For $T \in G^*$ we define the Fourier-Laplace transform $\hat{T}$ of T by

$$\hat{T}(z) = T(e^{-ixz}),\ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The main point of our work is to characterize the dual space G^* of linear continuous functionals on G in terms of their Fourier-Laplace transforms. The similar problem was considered in [14], but the method presented there is not applicable in our case (see condition Φ 3 in [14], p. 48). Our principal result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The Fourier-Laplace transform establishes topological isomorphism of the spaces* G^* *and* P.

We establish surjectivity of the Fourier-Laplace transform by using the representation of entire functions from P in the form of the Lagrange series. This idea comes from [15]. To realise this idea we construct some special entire function. For the construction of this entire function we use results of R.S. Yulmukhametov [16], [17] and M.I. Solomesch [7], [12], [13].

2. Auxiliary results.

Lemma 1. *For any* $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$

$$|w(|z_2|) - w(|z_1|)| \le A_w e|z_2 - z_1|. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let $N(r) = \min\left\{k \in Z_+ : w(r) = \ln \frac{r^k}{M_k}\right\}$, $r > 0$. Using the inequality from [15, Lemma 1.2]: $w(r) - w(1) \ge N\left(\frac{r}{e}\right)$, $r > 1$, and the estimate (1), we obtain

$$N(r) \le A_w er,\ r > e^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

¿From the equality (see [3], [15, Lemma 1.2])

$$w(r) - w(1) = \int\limits_1^r \frac{N(x)}{x}\, dx \ , \ r > 1,$$

and the estimate (5) we derive that:

$$w(r_2) - w(r_1) \le A_w e(r_2 - r_1), \ r_2 > r_1 > 1;$$

$$w(r_2) - w(r_1) = w(r_2) - w(1) \le A_w e(r_2 - 1) \le A_w e(r_2 - r_1), r_2 > 1, r_1 \in [0,1].$$

Since $w(r) = 0$ for $r \in [0, M_1]$ then from two last inequalities the statement of Lemma follows.

Lemma 2. *For any $A > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \exists Q > 0$ such that*

$$w_m(|z|) + A\ln(1 + |z|) \le w_{m+1}(|z|) + Q, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. Let $N(r)$ be defined as in Lemma 1. Then $\dfrac{r^{N(r)}}{M_{N(r)}} \ge \dfrac{r^{N(r)+1}}{M_{N(r)+1}}$. Consequently, $r \le \dfrac{M_{N(r)+1}}{M_{N(r)}}$. From this, using *ii*), for any $\delta > 0$ we can find $A_\delta > 0$ such that $r \le A_\delta (1+\delta)^{N(r)}$. Thus, $N(r) \ge \dfrac{\ln \dfrac{r}{A_\delta}}{\ln(1+\delta)}$. Set $r = \dfrac{|z|}{\sigma + \varepsilon_m}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}, z \ne 0$. Then

$$w_{m+1}(|z|) - w_m(|z|) \ge \ln\left(\left(\frac{|z|}{\sigma + \varepsilon_{m+1}}\right)^{N(r)} \frac{1}{M_{N(r)}}\right) -$$

$$\ln\left(\left(\frac{|z|}{\sigma + \varepsilon_m}\right)^{N(r)} \frac{1}{M_{N(r)}}\right) = k_0(r) \ln\frac{\sigma + \varepsilon_m}{\sigma + \varepsilon_{m+1}} \ge \frac{\ln \dfrac{|z|}{A_\delta(\sigma + \varepsilon_m)}}{\ln(1+\delta)} \ln\frac{\sigma + \varepsilon_m}{\sigma + \varepsilon_{m+1}}.$$

Now we can choose so small δ and then siutable constant $Q \ge 0$ so that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$w_{m+1}(|z|) - w_m(|z|) \ge A\ln(1 + |z|) - Q.$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

Using Lemma 2 it is easy to show that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the canonical (inclusion) mapping $\gamma_{m,m+1} : P_m \to P_{m+1}$ is relatively compact in P_{m+1}. So P is a space (LN^*) (see for definitions [11]).

Lemma 3. *Let $T \in G^*$, say for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}, c > 0$*

$$|T(f)| \leq c\|f\|_{G,m_0}, \ \ f \in G.$$

Then T can be represented in the form

$$T(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sigma + \varepsilon_{m_0})^k M_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{\theta_{m_0}(x)} \ d\mu_k(x),$$

where μ_k are complex bounded measures in $\mathbb{R}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d|\mu_k|(x) \leq c$.

The proof of the Lemma is standard [1].

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we let

$$f_z(x) = \exp(-izx), \ \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Lemma 4. *For every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ $\ f_z \in G$.*

Proof. For every $z \in \mathbb{C}, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|f_z\|_{G,m} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R},k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{|(-iz)^k \exp(-izx)|}{(\sigma + \varepsilon_m)^k M_k \theta_m(x)}$$

$$= \exp(w_m(|z|)) \ \exp(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}(x \ Im \ z - \ln\theta_m(x))), \ \ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let's obtain the upper estimate of $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}(x \ Im \ z - \ln\theta_m(x))$. ¿From (3) it follows that for some $A_m(\varphi), B_m(\varphi) > 0$

$$\varphi(x) - m\ln(1 + |x|) \geq A_m(\varphi)|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} - B_m(\varphi), \ \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence there exists $y_m > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}, |Im \ z| > y_m,$ the supremum of $x \ Im \ z - \varphi(x) + m\ln(1 + |x|)$ over $\mathbb{R}$ is attained at some point $x_m \in (-(2A_m^{-1}(\varphi)|Im \ z|)^{\alpha-1}, (2A_m^{-1}(\varphi)|Im \ z|)^{\alpha-1})$. If $|Im \ z| > y_m,$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}(x \ Im \ z - \varphi(x) + m\ln(1 + |x|)) - \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}(x \ Im \ z - \varphi(x)) \\
&\qquad \leq m\ln(1 + |x_m|) \leq \ln(1 + (2A_m^{-1}(\varphi)|Im \ z|)^{\alpha-1}) \\
&\qquad \leq m(\alpha - 1)\ln(1 + |Im \ z|) + m(\alpha - 1)\ln(1 + 2A_m^{-1}(\varphi)) + m\ln 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using inversion formula for the Young transform and choosing appropriate constant $b_m > 0$, we have for all $z \in \mathbb{C}, m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}(x \ Im \ z - \ln\theta_m(x)) - \psi(Im \ z) \leq m(\alpha - 1)\ln(1 + |Im \ z|) + b_m. \quad (6)$$

Consequently,

$$\|f_z\|_{G,m} \le \exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_m(|z|) + m(\alpha-1)\ln(1+|Im\ z|) + b_m), z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By Lemma 2 $\exists q_m \ge 0$ such that

$$\|f_z\|_{G,m} \le \exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_{m+1}(|z|) + q_m), z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{7}$$

Thus, $f_z \in G$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 5. *Suppose that for $T \in G^*$ there are $c > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$|T(f)| \le c\|f\|_{G,m}\ ,\ \ f \in G. \tag{8}$$

Then $\hat{T}$ is entire and satisfies

$$|\hat{T}(z)| \le c\exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_{m+1}(|z|) + q_m),\ \ z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{9}$$

where q_m is the same as in (7). Moreover, $\hat{T}$ can be represented in the form

$$\hat{T}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k(z)z^k,\ \ z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where V_k are entire functions such that for some $A > 0$ independent of k

$$|V_k(z)| \le \frac{A(1+|z|)^{m(\alpha-1)}\exp(\psi(Im\ z))}{(\sigma+\varepsilon_m)^k M_k},\ \ z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Using lemma 3 we have

$$\hat{T}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-iz)^k}{(\sigma+\varepsilon_m)^k M_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-izx}}{\theta_m(x)}\ d\mu_k(x),\ \ z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{11}$$

where μ_k are complex bounded measures in $\mathbb{R}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} d|\mu_k|(x) \le c. \tag{12}$$

Using the boundedness of the measures μ_k and the estimate

$$\left|\frac{e^{-izx}}{\theta_n(x)}\right| \le \exp(\psi(Im\ z) + l(\alpha-1)\ln(1+|Im\ z|) + b_n), \tag{13}$$

which follows from (6) and holds for every $z \in \mathbb{C}, x \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, it's easy to show that

$$V_k(z) = \frac{(-i)^k}{(\sigma + \varepsilon_m)^k M_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-izx}}{\theta_m(x)} \, d\mu_k(x), \ z \in \mathbb{C}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

is entire. The estimate (10) immediately follows from (12), (13) (set $n = m$). From this and the condition *iii)* it follows that the series in the right-hand side of (11) converges in the topology of $H(\mathbb{C})$. Consequently, $\hat{T}$ is entire. The estimate (9) is obtained from (7) and (8).

Lemma 6. *Let $F \in P$ has the form $F(z) = U(z)V(z), z \in \mathbb{C}$, where entire functions U, V for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_U, C_V > 0$ satisfy the estimates:*

$$|U(z)| \leq \frac{C_U \exp(\psi(Im\ z))}{1 + |z|^2} \ , \ z \in \mathbb{C};$$

$$|V(z)| \leq C_V e^{w_m(|z|)}, \ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then there exists $T \in G^*$ such that $\hat{T} = F$ and

$$|T(f)| \leq \beta_m C_U C_V \|f\|_{G,m+1} \ , \ f \in G,$$

where $\beta_m > 0$ is some constant depending only on m.

Proof. ¿From the estimate of $|U(z)|$ it follows (see, for example, [4], [5], [8]) that $\exists p \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that:

1. $\sup\limits_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |p(t)| \exp(\varphi(t)) < \dfrac{C_U}{2}$;
2. $U(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t) e^{-izt} \, dt, \ z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Further, by the Cauchy inequality for Taylor coefficients of entire function $V(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k z^k$ we have

$$|v_k| \leq C_V \inf_{r>0} \frac{\exp(w_m(r))}{r^k} = C_V (\sigma + \varepsilon_m)^{-k} \inf_{r>0} \frac{\exp(w(r))}{r^k} \ , \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Using the equality [3]

$$\inf_{r>0} \frac{\exp(w(r))}{r^k} = \frac{1}{M_k} \ , \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \tag{14}$$

we get

$$|v_k| \leq C_V (\sigma + \varepsilon_m)^{-k} {M_k}^{-1}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{15}$$

Define the functional T on G by the formula

$$T(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k i^k f^{(k)}(t)\ dt,\ f \in G.$$

It is defined correctly. Indeed, for every $f \in G$

$$|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} i^k v^k f^{(k)}(t)| \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |v_k| \|f\|_{G,m+1} (\sigma + \varepsilon_{m+1})^k M_k \theta_{m+1}(t),\ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using (15), we have for every $f \in G$

$$|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k i^k f^{(k)}(t)| \le \beta_m C_V \|f\|_{G,m+1} \theta_{m+1}(t),\ t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\beta_m = \dfrac{\sigma + \varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_{m+1}}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}|T(f)| &\le \beta_m C_V \|f\|_{G,m+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(t)| \exp(\varphi(t))(1+|t|)^{-(m+1)}\ dt \\ &\le \beta_m C_U C_V \|f\|_{G,m+1},\ f \in G.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $T \in G^*$. Obviously,

$$\hat{T}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k i^k (-iz)^k e^{-izt}\ dt = U(z)V(z) = F(z),\ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

3. The space $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$.

Let

$$\mathcal{E}(\Phi) = \{f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) : \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, m \in \mathbb{N}\ \ \|f\|_{n,m} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \le k \le n} \frac{|f^{(k)}(x)|}{\theta_m(x)} < \infty\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{E}^*(\Phi) \subset G^*$. In this section we study the Fourier-Laplace transform of functionals from $\mathcal{E}^*(\Phi)$.

If $F \in \mathcal{E}^*(\Phi)$ then $\exists\ c > 0, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall f \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ we have $|F(f)| \le c\|f\|_{n,m}$. So, using (15), we have for some $C > 0$

$$|F(\exp(-ixz))| \le C(1+|z|)^{n+m(\alpha-1)} \exp(\psi(Imz)),\ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By Lemma 5 $\hat{F}$ is an entire function. The description of functionals from $\mathcal{E}^*(\Phi)$ in terms of their Fourier-Laplace transforms will be done in theorem 2. But at first we study the density of polynomials in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$. We need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let function g defined on the real line satisfies for some constants $A > 0, B$ the inequality*

$$g(x) > A|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} - B, \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then there exists constant C depending only on A and B such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}(m\ln(1+|x|) - g(x)) < (1-\alpha^{-1})m\ln m + Cm.$$

Proof. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}(m\ln(1+|x|) - g(x)) \leq \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}(m\ln(1+|x|) - A|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}) + B$$

$$= B + \sup_{x\geq 0}(m\ln(1+x) - Ax^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}) < B + 2m + \sup_{u>0}(mu - Ae^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}u})$$

$$= B + 2m + (1-\alpha^{-1})m\ln m - (1-\alpha^{-1})m\ln((1-\alpha^{-1})Ae).$$

Theorem 2. *The polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi)$, that is $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ and for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $c_{m,n} > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$

$$|f^{(k)}(x)| \leq c_{m,n}\theta_m(x). \tag{16}$$

Let us approximate f by polynomials in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$. There are three steps in the proof.

1. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}\gamma \subseteq [-2, 2], \gamma(x) = 1$ for $x \in [-1, 1]$, $0 \leq \gamma(x) \leq 1 \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $f_\nu(x) = f(x)\gamma(\frac{x}{\nu}), \ \nu \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Obviously, $f_\nu \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi)$.

Let us show that $f_\nu \to f$ in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ as $\nu \to \infty$. Take arbitrary $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{|f_\nu(x) - f(x)|}{\theta_m(x)} \leq \sup_{|x|>\nu}\frac{|f(x)|}{\theta_m(x)} \leq \sup_{|x|>\nu}\frac{c_{m+1,n}\theta_{m+1}(x)}{\theta_m(x)}.$$

Consequently, as $\nu \to \infty$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{|f_\nu(x) - f(x)|}{\theta_m(x)} \to 0. \tag{17}$$

Then,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}, 1\leq k\leq n}\frac{|(f_\nu(x) - f(x))^{(k)}|}{\theta_m(x)}$$

$$= \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R},1\le k\le n} \frac{|\sum\limits_{s=o}^{k-1} C_k^s f^{(s)}(x)\nu^{s-k}\gamma^{(k-s)}(\frac{x}{\nu}) + f^{(k)}(x)(\gamma(\frac{x}{\nu})-1)|}{\theta_m(x)}$$

$$\le \sup_{\nu<|x|<2\nu,1\le k\le n} \frac{\sum\limits_{s=o}^{k-1} C_k^s |f^{(s)}(x)| n^{s-k} |\gamma^{(k-s)}(\frac{x}{\nu})|}{\theta_m(x)} + \sup_{|x|>\nu,1\le k\le n} \frac{|f^{(k)}(x)|}{\theta_m(x)}.$$

¿From this, using (16) (subst

ititing m by $m+1$), we conclude that as $\nu \to \infty$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R},1\le k\le n} \frac{|(f_\nu(x)-f(x))^{(k)}|}{\theta_m(x)} \to 0.$$

¿From this and (17) it follows that $\|f_\nu - f\|_{n,m} \to 0$ as $\nu \to \infty$. Since $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrary this means that the sequence $\{f_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty}$ converges to f in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ as $\nu \to \infty$.

2. Fix $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $h(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k z^{2k}, z \in \mathbb{C}, h \not\equiv 0$, be an entire function of exponential type 1 such that $h \in L_1(\mathbb{R}), h(x) \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$. For example, we may put $h(z) = \frac{\sin^2 \frac{z}{2}}{z^2},\ z \in\ \mathbb{C}$.

By the Paley-Wiener theorem $\exists g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ with support $g \subseteq [-1,1]$ such that

$$h(z) = \int\limits_{-1}^{1} g(t)e^{-izt}\ dt\ ,\ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since

$$h^{(k)}(z) = \int\limits_{-1}^{1} g(t)(-it)^k e^{-izt}\ dt\ ,\ z \in \mathbb{C},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

then

$$|h^{(k)}(x)| \le C_g\ ,\ x \in \mathbb{R},\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \tag{18}$$

where $C_g = 2\max\limits_{|t|\le 1} |g(t)|$.

Let $\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x)\ dx = A$. For $\lambda > 0$ we set

$$f_{\nu,\lambda}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{A}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_\nu(y)h(\lambda(x-y))\ dy,\ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easy to see that $f_{\nu,\lambda} \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi)$.

Let us show that $f_{\nu,\lambda} \to f_\nu$ in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ as $\lambda \to +\infty$. Take arbitrary $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$f_{\nu,\lambda}^{(k)}(x) - f_\nu^{(k)}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{A}\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_\nu^{(k)}(y) - f_\nu^{(k)}(x))h(\lambda(x-y))\ dy$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{A}\int_{|y-x|\le\lambda^{-\frac{2}{3}}} (f_\nu^{(k)}(y) - f_\nu^{(k)}(x))h(\lambda(x-y))\ dy$$

$$+\frac{\lambda}{A}\int_{|y-x|>\lambda^{-\frac{2}{3}}} (f_\nu^{(k)}(y) - f_\nu^{(k)}(x))h(\lambda(x-y))\ dy = I_{1,k}(x) + I_{2,k}(x).$$

Let $K_n = \max\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R},0\le k\le n+1} |f_\nu^{(k)}(x)|$. Obviously,

$$|I_{1,k}(x)| \le \frac{2C_g K_n}{A}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}\ ,\ x \in \mathbb{R},\ k = 0, 1, \dots, n; \tag{19}$$

$$|I_{2,k}(x)| \le \frac{2K_n}{A}\int_{|t|>\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}}} h(t)\ dt\ ,\ x \in \mathbb{R},\ k = 0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{20}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and $\Theta_m = \inf\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \theta_m(x), m \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $\lambda(\varepsilon) > 0$ so that $\frac{1}{A}\int_{|t|>\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}}} h(t)\ dt < \frac{\varepsilon\Theta}{4K_n}$ and $2C_g K_n \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}} < \frac{A\varepsilon\Theta}{2}$ for $\lambda > \lambda(\varepsilon)$. Then from (19) and (20) it follows that $\|f_{\nu,\lambda} - f_\nu\|_{n,m} < \varepsilon$ for all $\lambda > \lambda(\varepsilon)$. Consequently, by the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{n,m}$ $f_{\nu,\lambda} \to f_\nu$ as $\lambda \to +\infty$. Since $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrary, it means that $f_{\nu,\lambda} \to f_\nu$ in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ as $\lambda \to +\infty$.

3. Fix $\lambda > 0, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us approximate $f_{\nu,\lambda}$ by the polynomials in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$.

Let $h(x) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k x^{2k}, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $P_{2N}(x) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{N} a_k x^{2k}, x \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the Taylor formula and the estimate (18), we have

$$|h(x) - P_{2N}(x)| \le \frac{C_g|x|^{2N+1}}{(2N+1)!},\ x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{21}$$

Set

$$Q_{2N}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{A}\int\limits_{-L}^{L} f_\nu(y)P_{2N}(\lambda(x-y))\ dy,\ x \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $L > 0$ is chosen so that supp $f_\nu \subseteq [-L, L]$. Q_{2N} is a polynomial of the degree not more than $2N$.

Let us show that the sequence $\{Q_{2N}\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ to $f_{\nu,\lambda}$ as $N \to \infty$. We take arbitrary $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$f_{\nu,\lambda}^{(k)}(x) - Q_{2N}^{(k)}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{A} \int\limits_{-L}^{L} f_\nu^{(k)}(y)(h(\lambda(x-y)) - P_{2N}(\lambda(x-y)))\, dy, \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using (18), (21) we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, N\in \mathbb{N}, k = 0, 1, \dots, n$

$$|f_{\nu,\lambda}^{(k)}(x) - Q_{2N}^{(k)}(x)| \le K_n C_g \frac{2L\lambda^{2N+2}}{A} \frac{(|x|+L)^{2N+1}}{(2N+1)!} \ .$$

Thus, there exist $C_1 > 0$ depending on n and C_2 such that for any $N\in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|f_{\nu,\lambda} - Q_{2N}\|_{n,m} \le \frac{C_1 C_2^{2N+2}}{(2N+1)!} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{(1+|x|)^{2N+1}}{\theta_m(x)} \ . \qquad (22)$$

Since φ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 7 then there exists positive number C_3 such that for all $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}((2N+m+1)\ln(1+|x|) - \varphi(x))$$

$$\le (1-\alpha^{-1})(2N+m+1)\ln(2N+m+1) + C_3(2N+m+1).$$

¿From this estimate and the inequality (22) it follows that there exist positive numbers C_4, C_5 depending on m, n such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|f_{\nu,\lambda} - Q_{2N}\|_{n,m} \le \frac{C_4 C_5^N (2N+1)^{(2N+1)(1-\alpha^{-1})}}{(2N+1)!} \ .$$

The right-hand side of the last inequality tends to 0 as $N \to \infty$. This means that $f_{\nu,\lambda}$ is approximated by polynomials in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ since $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrary.

The density of polynomials in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ follows from steps 1) – 3).

Theorem 3. *Let $U \in H(\mathbb{C})$ for some $C > 0, N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies the eniquality*

$$|U(z)| \le C(1+|z|)^N \exp(\psi(Imz)), \ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then there exists the unique functional $T \in \mathcal{E}^*(\Phi)$ such that $T(\exp(-izx)) = U(z), z \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$|T(f)| \le AC\|f\|_{N+2,2} \ , \ f \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi),$$

where $A > 0$ doesn't depend on U.

Proof. Let us take real numbers $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots , \lambda_{N+1}$ not equal to each other and zero. Choose numbers $a_0, a_1, \dots , a_{N+1}$ so that an entire function

$$g(z) = U(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} a_k \exp(-i\lambda_k z),\ z \in \mathbb{C},$$

satisfies the following condition: $g^{(n)}(0) = 0, n = 0, 1, \dots , N + 1$. It is clear that these numbers are uniquely defined. ¿From the estimate on U we have $|U^{(k)}(0)| \le c_1 C, k = 0, 1, \dots , N + 1$, where $c_1 > 0$ depends only on N. Then $|a_k| \le c_2 C,\ k = 0, 1, \dots , N + 1$, where $c_2 > 0$ depends only on N. Using the second condition on ψ we have for some $c_3 > 0$ depending only on N

$$|g(z)| \le c_3 C(1 + |z|)^N \exp(\psi(Imz)),\ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let $h(z) = \dfrac{g(z)}{(-iz)^{N+2}}$. Obviously,

$$|h(z)| \le \frac{c_4 C \exp(\psi(Imz))}{1 + |z|^2}\ ,\ z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $c_4 > 0$ depends only on N.

As in Lemma 6 $\exists p \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that:

1. $\sup\limits_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |p(t)| \exp(\varphi(t)) < \dfrac{c_4 C}{2}$;
2. $h(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)e^{-izt}\ dt,\ z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Define functional T on $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ by the formula

$$T(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} a_k f(\lambda_k) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t) f^{(N+2)}(t)\ dt,\ f \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi).$$

It is easy to show that for some $A > 0$ depending only on N $|T(f)| \le AC\|f\|_{N+2,2}$, $f \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi)$. Consequently, $T \in \mathcal{E}^*(\Phi)$. Obviously, $T(\exp(-izx)) = U(z), z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now we prove the uniqueness. Suppose that $S \in \mathcal{E}^*(\Phi)$ $S(\exp(-izx)) = 0\ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us show that $S = 0$. Let $P_{N,\xi}(x) = \sum\limits_{\nu=0}^{N} \dfrac{\xi^\nu}{\nu!} x^\nu, \xi, x \in \mathbb{R},\ N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We show at first that for any $R > 0$ the sequence of polynomials $\{P_{N,\xi}\}_{N=0}^{\infty}$ converges in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ to $e^{\xi x}$ uniformly by $\xi \in [-R, R]$ as $N \to \infty$. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. ¿From the Taylor formula

$$|e^{\xi x} - P_{N-k,\xi}(x)| \le \frac{|\xi|^{N-k+1} |x|^{N-k+1}}{(N-k+1)!} \max(1, e^{\xi x}),\ k = 0, 1, \dots , N,$$

then as $N \geq n$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R},0\leq k\leq n} \frac{|(e^{\xi x})^{(k)} - P_{N,\xi}^{(k)}(x)|}{\theta_m(x)} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R},0\leq k\leq n} \frac{\xi^k |e^{\xi x} - P_{N-k,\xi}(x)|}{\theta_m(x)}$$

$$\leq \frac{|\xi|^{N+1}}{(N-k+1)!} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{|x|^{N-k+1} \max(1, e^{\xi x})}{\theta_m(x)}$$

$$\leq \frac{R^{N+1}}{(N-n+1)!} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{(1+|x|)^{N+1} \max(1, e^{\xi x})}{\theta_m(x)}.$$

Since $\exists A, B > 0$ depending on R such that for all $\xi \in [-R, R],\ x \in \mathbb{R},\ \varphi(x) - \xi x \geq A|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} - B$, then, using Lemma 7, we can find constant $Q > 1$ independent of $\xi \in [-R, R]$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{(1+|x|)^{N+1} \max(1, e^{\xi x})}{\theta_m(x)} \leq Q^{N+m+1}(N+m+1)^{(1-\alpha^{-1})(N+m+1)}.$$

¿From these two last estimates it follows that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R},0\leq k\leq n} \frac{|(e^{\xi x})^{(ek)} - P_{N,\xi}^{(k)}(x)|}{\theta_m(x)} \to 0$$

uniformly by $\xi \in [-R, R]$ as $N \to \infty$. Since n, m are arbitrary, then it means that for any $R > 0$ $\{P_{N,\xi}\}_{N=0}^{\infty} \to e^{\xi x}$ in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ uniformly by $\xi \in [-R, R]$ as $N \to \infty$. ¿From this we conclude that $\sum\limits_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \dfrac{S(x^\nu)}{\nu!}\xi^\nu$ converges uniformly on compacts from $\mathbb{R}$ to $S(\exp(\xi x))$. By assumption for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ $S(\exp(\xi x)) = 0$. Consequently, $S(x^\nu) = 0$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since the polinomials are dense in $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$ then $S = 0$.

The theorem is proved.

4. The proof of theorem 1.

In this section at first we briefly describe a special case of M. I. Solomesch's general result [7], [12].

Let f be an arbitrary entire function with zeros $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$ of corresponding multiplicities $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. The Weierstrass representation of f has the form [2, p. 17]

$$f(\lambda) = g(\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_j}\right)^{m_j} \exp\left(P_j\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_j}\right)\right), \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

where g is an entire function without zeros, P_j are special polynomials, $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $t = \{t_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ $\lambda_j + t_j \neq 0$. Put

$$f_t(\lambda) = g(\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_j + t_j}\right)^{m_j} \exp\left(P_j\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_j}\right)\right), \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now we can formulate the result of M.I. Solomesch [7],[12, chapter 2, §3].

Theorem S. *Let the disks $D(\lambda_j, r_j)$ be pairwise disjoint, $\lambda_j + t_j \in D(\lambda_j, r_j)$, $r_j > 0, j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_j |t_j|}{r_j} < \infty$.*

Then f_t is an entire function and for λ outside $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D(\lambda_j, r_j)$

$$|\ln f_t(\lambda)| - |\ln f(\lambda)|| \leq C,$$

where $C > 0$ is some constant.

Proof of theorem 1.

Obviously, the map $J : T \to \hat{T}$, $T \in G^*$, is linear and by Lemma 5 acts from G^* to P.

J is continuous. Indeed, if $T \in G^*$, then for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ $T \in G_m^*$. Hence,

$$|T(f)| \leq \|T\|_{G^*,m} \|f\|_{G,m}\ ,\ f \in G,$$

where $\|T\|_{G^*,m}$ is a norm of T in G_m^*. By Lemma 5

$$|\hat{T}(z)| \leq \|T\|_{G^*,m} \exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_{m+1}(|z|) + q_m),\ z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $q_m > 0$ is some constant. Consequently,

$$\|\hat{T}\|_{m+1} \leq e^{q_m} \|T\|_{G^*,m}\ ,\ T \in G_m^*.$$

This means that J is continuous.

At first we prove that J is injective. That is, if for $T \in G^*$ $\hat{T} \equiv 0$, then $T(f) = 0$ $\forall f \in G$. We will follow the scheme of the paper [14, see the proof of theorem 2.8]. By Lemma 3 T is represented in the form

$$T(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sigma + \varepsilon_m)^k M_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{\theta_m(x)}\ d\mu_k(x),\ f \in G,$$

where μ_k are complex bounded measures in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d|\mu_k|(x) \leq \|T\|_{G^*,m}$. Note that functional

$$T_k(f) = \frac{1}{(\sigma + \varepsilon_m)^k M_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x)}{\theta_m(x)}\ d\mu_k(x),\ f \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi),$$

is in $\mathcal{E}^*(\Phi)$. By Lemma 5 $\hat{T}(z) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k(z)z^k$, where entire functions $V_k(z) = (-i)^k T_k(\exp(-ixz))$ for some $B_m > 0$ independent of k satisfy the estimate

$$|V_k(z)| \leq \frac{B_m(1+|z|)^{m(\alpha-1)}\exp(\psi(Im\ z))}{(\sigma+\varepsilon_m)^k M_k}\ ,\ z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{23}$$

Consider $H(z,u) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k(z)u^k,\ z,u \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to see that $H(z,u)$ is entire. From (23) we have an estimate for $H(z,u)$

$$|H(z,u)| \leq \frac{B_m(\sigma+\varepsilon_m)}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_{m+1}}(1+|z|)^{m(\alpha-1)}\exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_{m+1}(|u|)),\ z,u \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By our hypothesis $H(z,z) = 0,\ z \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, $H(z,u) = (z-u)S(z,u)$, where S is entire. Note that S satisfies the estimate

$$|S(z,u)| \leq A_S(1+|z|)^{m(\alpha-1)}\exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_{m+1}(|u|)),\ z,u \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{24}$$

where $A_S > 0$ is some constant. It is obvious when $|z-u| \geq 1$ and for $|z-u| < 1$ it can be obtained by applying the maximum principle and by using the inequality (4). Then we expand S in a power series in u: $S(z,u) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k(z)u^k$. By Cauchy's inequality for the coefficients in a power series expansion, equality (14), inequality (24), we have

$$|S_k(z)| \leq \frac{A_S}{(\sigma+\varepsilon_{m+1})^k M_k}(1+|z|)^{m(\alpha-1)}\exp(\psi(Im\ z))\ , k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By theorem 2 there exist $\Phi_k \in \mathcal{E}^*(\Phi)$ such that $\Phi_k(\exp(-izx)) = S_k(z), z \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$|\Phi_k(f)| \leq \frac{K}{(\sigma+\varepsilon_{m+1})^k M_k}\|f\|_{N+2,2}\ ,\ f \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi), \tag{25}$$

where $N = [m(\alpha-1)]+1$, constant $K > 0$ doesn't depend on $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Since H is represented in the form

$$H(z,u) = zS_0(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(zS_k(z) - S_{k-1}(z))u^k,$$

then $V_0(z) = zS_0(z), V_k(z) = zS_k(z) - S_{k-1}(z), k \in \mathbb{N}$. By theorem 2 $T_0(f) = i\Phi_0(f'),\ (-i)^k T_k(f) = i\Phi_k(f') - \Phi_{k-1}(f), f \in \mathcal{E}(\Phi)$. According to *ii)* $\forall\delta >$

$0\ \exists A_\delta > 0 :\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\ \ M_{n+1} \le A_\delta (1+\delta)^n M_n$. Then from (25) we have for $f \in G$

$$|\Phi_n(f^{(n+1)})| \le \frac{K}{(\sigma+\varepsilon_{m+1})^n M_n} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}, 0\le k\le N+2} \frac{|f^{(n+k+1)}(x)|}{\theta_2(x)}$$

$$\le \frac{K}{(\sigma+\varepsilon_{m+1})^n M_n} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}, 0\le k\le N+2} \frac{\|f\|_{G,m+2}(\sigma+\varepsilon_{m+2})^{n+k+1} M_{n+k+1}\theta_{m+2}(x)}{\theta_2(x)}$$

$$\le K_\delta \|f\|_{G,m+2}\left(\frac{(\sigma+\varepsilon_{m+2})(1+\delta)^{(k+1)}}{\sigma+\varepsilon_{m+1}}\right)^n,$$

where $K_\delta = K \sup\limits_{0\le k\le N+2}\left((\sigma+\varepsilon_{m+2})^{k+1} A_\delta^{k+1}(1+\delta)^{\frac{(k+1)k}{2}}\right)$. Choose δ so small that $\dfrac{(\sigma+\varepsilon_{m+2})(1+\delta)^{(k+1)}}{\sigma+\varepsilon_{m+1}} < 1$. Then $\Phi_n(f^{(n+1)}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, for any $f \in G$

$$T(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k(f^{(k)}) = i\Phi_0(f') + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(i^{k+1}\Phi_k(f^{(k+1)}) - i^k\Phi_{k-1}(f^{(k)}))$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} i^{n+1}\Phi_n(f^{(n+1)}) = 0.$$

Now let us prove that J is surjective. Let an entire function $F \in P$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}, c > 0$ satisfies

$$|F(z)| \le c\exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_m(|z|)),\ z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{26}$$

We wish to show that there is $\mathcal{F} \in G^*$ with $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = F$.

Since functions $\psi(Im\ z), w_{m+3}(|\ z|)$ satisfy the conditions of the theorem 6 of [16] (see also [17, theorem 4], then there are entire functions L and Y such that:

(L1). All the zeros $\{\lambda_j\}_1^\infty$ of L are simple and the disks $D(\lambda_j, d_1|\lambda_j|^{1-\alpha})$ are disjoint for some $d_1 > 0$.

(L2). Outside the set $\bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} D(\lambda_j, d_1|\lambda_j|^{1-\alpha})$

$$|\psi(Im\ z) - \ln|L(z)|| \le A\ln(1+|z|) + A_0, \tag{27}$$

where A, A_0 are some positive numbers.

(Y1). All the zeros $\{\mu_k\}_1^\infty$ of Y are simple and the disks $D(\mu_k, d_2)$ are disjoint for some $d_2 > 0$.

(Y2). Outside the set $\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} D(\mu_k, d_2)$

$$|w_{m+3}(|\ z|) - \ln |Y(z)|| \leq B \ln(1 + |z|) + B_0, \tag{28}$$

where B, B_0 are some positive real numbers.

It can be assumed without loss of generality that $|\mu_k| > \max(1, 4d_2)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|\lambda_j| > \max(2(d_1 + d_2), 1)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

At first, having functions L and Y, we construct an entire function $\mathcal{N} \in P$, which outside some exceptional disks satisfies the estimate

$$|\mathcal{N}(z)| \geq C_{\mathcal{N}} \exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_{m+1}(|z|)),$$

where $C_{\mathcal{N}}$ is some positive number.

By the maximum principle applied to Y in disks $D(\mu_k, d_2), k \in \mathbb{N}$, and using inequality (4), we get from (28)

$$|Y(z)| \leq B_1 \exp(w_{m+3}(|\ z|) + B \ln(1 + |z|)),\ z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where B_1 is some positive number. Whence, using Lemma 2, we have for some $B_2 > 0$

$$|Y(z)| \leq B_2 \exp(w_{m+4}(|\ z|)),\ z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{29}$$

For any $\nu > 0, b \in (0, d_2)$ let $\Omega(b, \nu) = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} D(\mu_k, b|\mu_k|^{-\nu})$. Let us estimate $|Y(z)|$ from below outside the set $\Omega(b, \nu)$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$Y_k(z) = \frac{z - \mu_k}{Y(z)}\ ,\ z \in \overline{D(\mu_k, d_2)}.$$

$Y_k(z)$ is holomorphic in $\overline{D(\mu_k, d_2)}$ and has no zeros there.

By the maximum principle

$$|Y_k(z)| \leq \frac{d_2}{|Y(z_o)|}\ ,\ z \in D(\mu_k, d_2),$$

where z_0 is a point of $\in \partial D(\mu_k, d_2)$ where the minimum of $|Y(z)|$ over $\overline{D(\mu_k, d_2)}$ is attained. ¿From this, using (28) and (4), we obtain

$$|Y_k(z)| \leq C_1(1 + |z|)^B \exp(-w_{m+3}(|z|)),\ z \in D(\mu_k, d_2),$$

where $C_1 > 0$ doesn't depend on k. Hence

$$|Y(z)| \geq \frac{|z - \mu_k| \exp(w_{m+3}(|z|))}{C_1(1 + |z|)^B}\ ,\ z \in D(\mu_k, d_2).$$

Thus, for $z \in D(\mu_k, d_2) \setminus D(\mu_k, b|\mu_k|^{-\nu})$

$$|Y(z)| \geq \frac{b \exp(w_{m+3}(|z|))}{C_1 |\mu_k|^{\nu} (1+|z|)^B}.$$

Since for $z \in D(\mu_k, d_2)$ $|\mu_k| \leq \dfrac{4|z|}{3}$, then

$$|Y(z)| \geq \frac{C_2 \exp(w_{m+3}(|z|))}{(1+|z|)^{B+\nu}},\ z \in D(\mu_k, d_2) \setminus D(\mu_k, b|\mu_k|^{-\nu})\ , \tag{30}$$

where $C_2 > 0$ doesn't depend on k.

¿From (28) and (30) we get

$$|Y(z)| \geq \frac{C_3 \exp(w_{m+3}(|z|))}{(1+|z|)^{B+\nu}},\ z \notin \Omega(b, \nu),$$

where $C_3 > 0$ is some constant. Using Lemma 2, we get

$$|Y(z)| \geq C_4 \exp(w_{m+2}(|z|)),\ z \notin \Omega(b, \nu), \tag{31}$$

where $C_4 > 0$ is some constant depending on b, ν.

Now we need to choose positive numbers b, ν and sequence $t = \{t_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that:

1). for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ $D(\lambda_j + t_j, b|\lambda_j + t_j|^{-\nu}) \subset D(\lambda_j, d_1 |\lambda_j|^{1-\alpha})$;

2). $\Omega(b, \nu) \cap \left(\bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} D(\lambda_j + t_j, b|\lambda_j + t_j|^{-\nu}) \right) = \varnothing$;

3). $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} |t_j| |\lambda_j|^{\alpha-1} < \infty$.

We need only slightly to develop the scheme which was introduced in [13] (see also [12, Chapter 2, §4]).

Let $n_\mu(r)$ is the number of zeros of Y of modulos at most $r, r > 0$. From the estimates (29), (1) it follows that Y is an entire function of order 1 and finite type. Consequently, for some $A_\mu > 1$ $n_\mu(r) < A_\mu r,\ r > 0$ [2, \$ 5]. Choose ν and b so that $\nu > 2\alpha + 1$, $0 < b < \min(d_2, d_1 2^{-(\nu+6)} A_\mu^{-2})$.

Let us introduce the notations: $\Delta(z) = |z|, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $D_{k,Y} = D(\mu_k, b|\mu_k|^{-\nu})$, $D_{j,L} = D(\lambda_j, d_1 |\lambda_j|^{1-\alpha})$, $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary but fixed. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Delta(D_{k,Y}) \cap \Delta(D_{j,L}) \neq \varnothing$ we have $||\mu_k| - |\lambda_j|| < l$, where $l = d_1 + d_2$. Sum s of lengths of all intervals $\Delta(D_{k,Y})$ intersecting $\Delta(D_{j,L})$ is estimated as follows:

$$s = \sum_{|\lambda_j| - l < |\mu_k| < |\lambda_j| + l} 2b|\mu_k|^{-\nu} \leq \frac{2bn_\mu(|\lambda_j| + l)}{(|\lambda_j| - l)^{\nu}} \leq \frac{2bA_\mu(|\lambda_j| + l)}{(|\lambda_j| - l)^{\nu}}.$$

Since $|\lambda_j| > 2l$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $s \le 2^{\nu+2}bA_\mu|\lambda_j|^{1-\nu}$. Put $\sigma_j = 2^{\nu+2}bA_\mu|\lambda_j|^{1-\nu}$. There exists an interval $(|\lambda_j| + k_0\sigma_j, |\lambda_j| + (k_0+1)\sigma_j)$, where $k_0 + 1 \le 4n(|\lambda_j| + l)$, not intersecting $\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta(D_{k,Y})$. Put $t_j = (k_0 + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_j \exp(i \arg \lambda_j)$. Thus, the choice of the points t_j is the same as in [12], [13]. Now we estimate $|t_j|$:

$$|t_j| \le (k_0+1)\sigma_j \le 4n(|\lambda_j| + l)2^{\nu+2}bA_\mu|\lambda_j|^{1-\nu} \le 2^{\nu+5}bA_\mu^2|\lambda_j|^{2-\nu}. \tag{32}$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |t_j||\lambda_j|^{\alpha-1} \le 2^{\nu+5}bA_\mu^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^{\alpha+1-\nu} < \infty,$$

since $\nu - \alpha - 1 > \alpha$ and the covergence exponent of the zeros of L doesn't exceed α. This means that the condition 3) holds.

Due to (32), choice of ν, b and since $|\lambda_j| > 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the condition 1) holds too.

By the construction disk $D(\lambda_j + t_j, \frac{\sigma_j}{2})$ doesn't intersect $\Omega(b,\nu)$. Since $\frac{\sigma_j}{2} = 2^{\nu+1}bA_\mu|\lambda_j|^{1-\nu} > b(|\lambda_j| + |t_j|)^{-\nu} = b|\lambda_j + t_j|^{-\nu}$, then disk $D(\lambda_j + t_j, b|\lambda_j + t_j|^{-\nu})$ doesn't intersect $\Omega(b,\nu)$ too. Thus, condition 2) is satisfied.

Denote $D(\lambda_j + t_j, b|\lambda_j + t_j|^{-\nu})$ by $D'_{j,L}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

According to theorem S L_t satisfies

$$|\ln|L_t(z)| - \ln|L(z)|| \le C, \ z \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_{j,L} \ ,$$

where $C > 0$ is some constant. ¿From this and (27) it follows that for $z \notin \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} D_{j,L}$

$$|\ln|L_t(z)| - \psi(Im\ z)| \le A\ln(1+|z|) + A_0 + C. \tag{33}$$

Note that from (2) for $z_1, z_2 \in D_{j,L}$

$$|\psi(Im\ z_1) - \psi(Im\ z_2)| \le A_1, \tag{34}$$

where $A_1 > 0$ is some constant independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Applying the maximum principle to L_t in every disk $D_{j,L}$ and using (33), (34), we obtain

$$|L_t(z)| \le A_2(1+|z|)^A \exp(\psi(Im\ z)), \ z \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} D_{j,L}, \tag{35}$$

where $A_2 > \exp(A_0 + C)$ is some constant.

¿From (33) and (35) it follows that (35) holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Using the inequalities (27), (33), (34), it is not hard to show (see, for examaple, [8, Chapter 2, §4]) that outside $\bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} D'_{j,L}$

$$|L_t(z)| \geq \exp(\psi(Im\ z) - A_3 \ln(1 + |z|) - A_4), \tag{36}$$

where A_3, A_4 are some positive numbers. Thus, the necessary estimates of $|L_t|$ from above in $\mathbb{C}$ and from below outside $\bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} D'_{j,L}$ are established.

By the choice of b, ν the sums of the radii of the disjoint exceptional disks $D'_{j,L}$ and $D_{k,Y}$ are finite. Hence there exists a sequence $\{l_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of circles e $l_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R_n\}$, $R_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, which doesn't intersect the disks $D'_{j,L}$ and $D_{k,Y}$.

Put

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = \frac{L_t(z)}{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{N} (z - (\lambda_j + t_j))},\ z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $N > A + 2$. Then from (35), (36) for some positive constants A_5, A_6

$$|\mathcal{L}(z)| \leq \frac{A_5 \exp(\psi(Im\ z))}{1 + |z|^2},\ z \in \mathbb{C}; \tag{37}$$

$$|\mathcal{L}(z)| \geq \frac{A_6 \exp(\psi(Im\ z))}{(1 + |z|)^{A_3 + N}},\ z \notin \bigcup_{j=N+1}^{\infty} D'_{j,L}. \tag{38}$$

Let $\mathcal{N}(z) = \mathcal{L}(z) Y(z),\ z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the zeros of $\mathcal{N}$ ordered by non-decreasing modulus. By (31), (38) and Lemma 2 for z outside the set $\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} D(a_n, b|a_n|^{-\nu})$

$$|\mathcal{N}(z)| \geq C_{\mathcal{N}} \exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_{m+1}(|z|)), \tag{39}$$

where $C_{\mathcal{N}}$ is some positive number. In paricular, this estimate holds on the circles $l_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let K be an arbitrary compact in $\mathbb{C}$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\overline{K} \subset D(0, R_{k_0})$. For $k \geq k_0$ let

$$I(z) = \int_{l_k} \frac{F(\xi)}{\mathcal{N}(\xi)(\xi - z)}\, d\xi,\ z \in K.$$

Then

$$I(z) = 2\pi i \sum_{|a_n|<R_k} \frac{F(a_n)}{\mathcal{N}'(a_n)(a_n - z)} + 2\pi i \frac{F(z)}{\mathcal{N}(z)},\ z \in K. \tag{40}$$

Using (26) and (39) for $z \in K$ we have

$$|I(z)| \leq \int_{l_k} \frac{c \exp(\psi(Im\ \xi) + w_m(|\xi|))}{C_{\mathcal{N}}\ dist(K, l_k) \exp(\psi(Im\ \xi) + w_{m+1}(|\xi|))} |d\xi|.$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ in the right-hand side of this inequality and taking Lemma 2 into account, we get $I(z) = 0,\ z \in K$.

Letting $k \to \infty$ in (40), we obtain

$$F(z) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{|a_n|<R_k} \frac{F(a_n)}{\mathcal{N}'(a_n)} \frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z - a_n}\ ,\ z \in K. \tag{41}$$

Since compact K was arbitrary, then (41) holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Consider the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{F(a_n)}{\mathcal{N}'(a_n)} \frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z - a_n}\ ,\ z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{42}$$

We wish to show that it converges uniformly on every compact set of complex plane. At first for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we estimate $\dfrac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z - a_n},\ z \in \mathbb{C}$. We consider two cases.

The first case. Let a_n be the zero of $\mathcal{L}$. Then for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ $a_n = \lambda_j + t_j$, hence

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z - a_n} = \frac{\mathcal{L}(z)}{z - (\lambda_j + t_j)}\ Y(z),\ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

If $z \notin D'_{j,L}$, then by (37)

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{L}(z)}{z - (\lambda_j + t_j)}\right| \leq \frac{A_5 \exp(\psi(Im\ z))}{1 + |z|^2} \frac{|\lambda_j + t_j|^{\nu}}{b}\ . \tag{43}$$

If $z \in D'_{j,L}$, then by the maximum principle

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{L}(z)}{z - (\lambda_j + t_j)}\right| \leq \max_{\xi \in \partial D'_{j,L}} \left|\frac{\mathcal{L}(\xi)}{\xi - (\lambda_j + t_j)}\right|\ .$$

Let maximum in the right-hand side of the last inequality is attained at a point $\xi_0 \in \partial D'_{j,L}$. Then, again using the inequality (37),

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{L}(z)}{z - (\lambda_j + t_j)}\right| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{L}(\xi_0)||\lambda_j + t_j|^{\nu}}{b} \leq \frac{A_5 \exp(\psi(Im\ \xi_0))}{1 + |\xi_0|^2} \frac{|\lambda_j + t_j|^{\nu}}{b}\ .$$

Taking into account that for $z \in D'_{j,L}$ $|\xi_0| \geq |z| - 1$, and using (34), we obtain

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{L}(z)}{z - (\lambda_j + t_j)}\right| \leq \frac{A_7 \exp(\psi(Im\ z))}{1 + |z|^2} \frac{|\lambda_j + t_j|^\nu}{b}, \tag{44}$$

where $A_7 > 0$ is some constant independent of j. Thus, from (43), (44) we have

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{L}(z)}{z - a_n}\right| \leq \frac{A_8 \exp(\psi(Im\ z))}{1 + |z|^2} |a_n|^\nu, \tag{45}$$

where $A_8 = b^{-1} \max(A_5, A_7)$. ¿From (29), (45) we get in the first case

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z - a_n}\right| \leq A_9 |a_n|^\nu \exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_{m+4}(|z|)),\ \ z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{46}$$

where $A_9 = A_8 B_2$.

The second case. Let a_n be the zero of Y. Then for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $a_n = \mu_k$. Hence

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z - a_n} = \frac{Y(z)}{z - \mu_k}\ \mathcal{L}(z),\ \ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

If $z \notin D_{k,Y}$, then from (29)

$$\left|\frac{Y(z)}{z - \mu_k}\right| \leq b^{-1} B_2 \exp(w_{m+4}(|\ z|)) |\mu_k|^\nu,\ \ z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{47}$$

If $z \in D_{k,Y}$, then by the the maximum principle

$$\left|\frac{Y(z)}{z - \mu_k}\right| \leq \max_{\xi \in \partial D_{k,Y}} \left|\frac{Y(\xi)}{\xi - \mu_k}\right|\ .$$

Let maximum in the right-hand of the last inequality is attained at a point $\xi_0 \in \partial D_{k,Y}$. Then, again using (29), we have

$$\left|\frac{Y(z)}{z - \mu_k}\right| \leq b^{-1} |Y(\xi_0)| |\mu_k|^\nu \leq b^{-1} B_2 \exp(w_{m+4}(|\xi_0|) |\mu_k|^\nu\ .$$

For $z \in D_{k,Y}$ $|\xi_0| \leq |z| + 1$, so from the last inequality, using (4), we get

$$\left|\frac{Y(z)}{z - \mu_k}\right| \leq B_3 \exp(w_{m+4}(|z|) |\mu_k|^\nu, \tag{48}$$

where $B_3 > 0$ doesn't depend on k. ¿From (47), (48) it follows that

$$\left|\frac{Y(z)}{z-a_n}\right| \le B_4|a_n|^{\nu}\exp(w_{m+4}(|z|)), \tag{49}$$

where $B_4 = \max(b^{-1}B_2, B_3)$ doesn't depend on n. From (37) and (49) in the second case we obtain

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z-a_n}\right| \le A_{10}|a_n|^{\nu}\exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_{m+4}(|z|)),\ z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{50}$$

where $A_{10} = A_5B_4$. ¿From (46) and (50) we conclude that in both cases

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z-a_n}\right| \le A_{11}|a_n|^{\nu}\exp(\psi(Im\ z) + w_{m+4}(|z|)),\ z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{51}$$

where $A_{11} = \max(A_9, A_{10})$.

¿From the representation

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}'(a_n)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{|\xi-a_n|=b|a_n|^{-\nu}} \frac{d\,\xi}{\mathcal{N}(\xi)}\ ,$$

the inequality (39) and by using (4) and (34), we get

$$\left|\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}'(a_n)}\right| \le A_{12}|a_n|^{-\nu}\exp(-(\psi(Im\ a_n) + w_{m+1}(|a_n|))),$$

where constant $A_{12} > 0$ doesn't depend on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. ¿From this and (26) we obtain

$$\left|\frac{F(a_n)}{\mathcal{N}'(a_n)}\right| \le cA_{12}|a_n|^{-\nu}\exp(w_m(|a_n|) - w_{m+1}(|a_n|)),\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 2 there exists constant $Q \ge 0$ such that $w_m(|a_n|) - w_{m+1}(|a_n|) < -(\alpha+1)\ln(1+|a_n|) + Q\ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently,

$$\left|\frac{F(a_n)}{\mathcal{N}'(a_n)}\right| \le A_{13}(1+|a_n|)^{-(\alpha+\nu+1)},\ n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{52}$$

where constant $A_{13} > 0$ doesn't depend on n.

¿From (51) and (52) for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\frac{F(a_n)}{\mathcal{N}'(a_n)}\right|\left|\frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z-a_n}\right| \le A_{11}A_{13}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+|a_n|)^{-(\alpha+1)}\exp(\psi(Im\ z)+w_{m+4}(|z|)).$$

Since the convergence exponent of the zeros of $\mathcal{N}$ doesn't exceed α, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+|a_n|)^{-(\alpha+1)}<\infty \tag{53}$$

Consequently, the series (42) converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Hence by (41) ((41) holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$) we have

$$F(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{F(a_n)}{\mathcal{N}'(a_n)}\frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z-a_n}\ , z\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{54}$$

Now we wish to define $\mathcal{F}_n \in G^*$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_n(z)=\dfrac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z-a_n}$, $z\in\mathbb{C}, n\in\mathbb{N}$. There are two cases.

The first case. a_n is the zero of $\mathcal{L}$. Hence, $\dfrac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z-a_n}=\dfrac{\mathcal{L}(z)}{z-a_n}Y(z), z\in\mathbb{C}$. By (29), (45), Lemma 6 there exists $\mathcal{F}_n\in G^*$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_n(z)=\dfrac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z-a_n}$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$ and $|\mathcal{F}_n(f)|\le\beta_{m+4}A_8B_2|a_n|^{\nu}\|f\|_{G,m+5}$, $f\in G$.

The second case. a_n is the zero of Y. Hence, $\dfrac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z-a_n}=\dfrac{Y(z)}{z-a_n}\mathcal{L}(z)$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$. By (37), (49), Lemma 6 there is $\mathcal{F}_n\in G^*$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_n(z)=\dfrac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z-a_n}$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$ and $|\mathcal{F}_n(f)|\le\beta_{m+4}A_5B_4|a_n|^{\nu}\|f\|_{G,m+5}$, $f\in G$.

Thus, in both cases for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ there is $\mathcal{F}_n\in G^*$ such that

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_n(z)=\frac{\mathcal{N}(z)}{z-a_n}\ , z\in\mathbb{C}, \tag{55}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{F}_n(f)|\le H|a_n|^{\nu}\|f\|_{G,m+5}\ , f\in G, \tag{56}$$

where constant $H>0$ doesn't depend on n. Put

$$\mathcal{F}(f)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{F(a_n)}{\mathcal{N}'(a_n)}\mathcal{F}_n(f),\ f\in G.$$

Because of (52), (53), (56) $\mathcal{F}$ is defined correctly and it belongs to G^*. ¿From (54) and (55) we have $\hat{\mathcal{F}}=F$. Thus, J is surjective.

By the open mapping theorem for the spaces (LN^*) ([9], [6, p. 12]) J establishes topological isomorphism of the spaces G^* and P. Theorem 1 is proved.

Acknowledgement. The work was supported by the Russian Foundation of Fundamental Researches under Grant 99-01-00655. I am sincerely grateful to V. V. Napalkov for his interest in the work.

REFERENCES

1. H. Komatsu, Ultradistributions I. Structure theorems and a characterization. *J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo Sec. IA* , **20** (1973), 607 – 628.

2. B. Ya. Levin, *Disribution of zeros of entire functions*, Gostekhizdat, Moscow, 1956; English transl., American Math. Soc., Providence, 1964.

3. S. Mandelbrojt, *Séries adhérentes. Régularisation des suites. Applications*, Paris, 1952; Russian transl., Inostrannaya Literatura, Moscow, 1955.

4. M. M. Mannanov, Description of a class of analytic functionals, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **31** (1990), 62-72. [In Russian].

5. V. V. Napalkov, Spaces of analytic functions of given growth near the boundary, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **51** (1987), 287-305. [In Russian].

6. V.V. Napalkov. *Convolution equations in multidimensional spaces*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1982. [In Russian].

7. V. V. Napalkov and M. I. Solomesch, Estimate of changing of entire function under shift of its zeros, *Dokl. of Akad. Nauk (Russia)*, **342** (1995), 739 – 741.

8. S. V. Popyonov, On a weighted space of functions analytic in the unbounded convex domain in $\mathbb{C}^m$, *Mat. Zametki* **40** (1986), 374-384. [In Russian].

9. A. P. Robertson and W. Robertson, *Topological vector spaces*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1964.

10. R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex analysis*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NY, 1970; Russian transl., Mir, Moscow, 1973.

11. J. Sebastião e Silva, Su certe classi di spazi localmente convessi importante per le applicazioni, *Rend. Mat. e Appl.* **141** (1955), 388-410; Russian transl., *Matematika* **1** (1957), 60-77.

12. M. I. Solomesch, *Convolution type operators on some spaces of analytic functions*, Dissertation, Institute of Mathematics with Computing Center, Ufa Scientific Center, Russian Academy of sciences, Ufa, 1995. [In Russian].

13. M. I. Solomesch, On the R. S. Yulmukhametov's theorem on the approximation of subharmonic functions, Manuscript No. 2447 – B92, deposited with VINITI, 24.07.1992. [In Russian].

14. B. A. Taylor, Analytically uniform spaces of infinitely differentiable functions, *Communications on pure and applied mathematics* **24** (1971), 39-51.

15. R. S. Yulmukhametov, Quasianalytic classes of functions in convex domains, *Mat. Sb.* **130(172)** (1986), 500-519. [In Russian].

16. R. S. Yulmukhametov, Approximation of subharmonic functions, *Analysis Mathematica* **11** (1985), 257-282. [In Russian].
17. R. S. Yulmukhametov, Approximation of subharmonic functions, *Mat. Sb.* **124(166)** (1984), 393-415. [In Russian].

Il'dar Kh. Musin
Institute of mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Chernyshevskii str., 112, Ufa, 450000, RUSSIA.
E-mail: musin@imat.rb.ru